KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance					
	Neighbourhoods Directorate and Community Services										
NEI001	How much non- recycled waste was collected for every household in the district?	kg 400	kg 297	kg 400 Amber tolerance = 5% below target	No	With the introduction of the revised arrangements it is proposed that the target is retained at current levels and reviewed in 2017/18 once a full 18 months of operation is completed.					
NE1002	What percentage of all household waste was sent to be recycled, reused or composted?	60.00%	57.90%	% Amber tolerance = 2.00% below target		Indicator to be deleted for 2016/17 and replaced with 2 indicators (NEI013 and NEI014) separating out the recycling and composting waste functions.					
NE1003	What percentage of our district had unacceptable levels of litter?	8%	8%	8% Amber tolerance = 1% above target	No	Street Cleansing performance has been affected by the divergence of resources to assist in revised waste collection arrangements. Waste Client Officers are focusing on seeking improvements back to 2015/16 levels.					

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
	What percentage of			10%		
NEI004	our district had unacceptable levels of detritus (dust, mud, stones, rotted leaves, glass, plastic etc.)?	10%	14%	Amber tolerance = 1% above target	No	As per NEI003
	What percentage of the issues and		96.46%	95.50%		Performance has exceeded target in 2015/16 and it is proposed that a 0.5 increase will serve to maintain this improvement.
NEI005	complaints received by the Environment & Neighbourhoods Team received an initial response within 3 days?	95.00%		Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target	Yes	
	What percentage of the recorded incidences of fly-	ecorded ences of fly- g are tigated within 3 ng days of the being recorded e the fly-tip is on c or privately	94.32%	92.00%	Yes	Performance has exceeded target and a 2% increase to consolidate is proposed.
NE1006	tipping are investigated within 3 working days of the fly-tip being recorded where the fly-tip is on public or privately owned land?;			Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target		

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
NEI007	What percentage of the recorded incidences of fly- tipping (contract cleared), are removed within 5 working days of being recorded?	90.00%	90.89%	90.00% Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target	No	Maintain target.
NE1008	What percentage of the recorded incidences of fly- tipping (variation order / non-contract) are removed within 10 working days of being recorded?	90.00%	85.64%	90.00% Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target	No	Maintain target although it should be recognised that the performance of third parties etc ECC, Biffa Municipal and Landowners, has a bearing.
NE1009	What percentage of out of hours noise complaints that are passed through to the duty noise officer are responded to within 15 minutes?	90.00%	90.52%	90.00% Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target	No	Maintain target to reflect current performance which generally meets customer expectations.

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
NEI010	What was the net increase or decrease in the number of homes in the district?	230	101	230 Amber tolerance = within 5% below target	No	Numbers are low, but our housing trajectory, based on planning permissions, indicates that we should be meeting the 230 on average per year. Next year there are a number of larger developments (including 60 plus units at Spurs grounds) which we know are commenced and highly likely to be completed within the monitoring period, so for that reason I would say we continue with the current target of 230. It is important to note that the Council has a limited influence over housing completion figures meeting targets. The Council can encourage more building of dwellings by granting planning permission, making strategic housing site allocations through the Local Plan etc., but it does not actually build the vast majority of the dwellings, so it cannot control if and when they are completed. Even if a site is given planning permission, the state of the housing market can mean that projects may not commence as developers may wait and try to take advantage of rising property prices. This means that even if the Council grants sufficient permissions, it cannot guarantee a completion rate.

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
	What percentage of the rent we were due		2.6%	2.5%		Proposed uplift to recognise improved performance as
NEI011		3.0%		Amber tolerance = 0.5% above target	Yes	a result of new procedures within Estates and Valuation pro-actively pursuing arrears.
	NEI012 What percentage of our commercial premises was let to tenants?	98.00%	98.89%	98.00%		Maintain target. Inevitably there will be a turnover of tenants and difficult to avoid no void periods.
NEI012				Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target	No	
NEI013	What percentage of all household waste was sent to be recycled or reuse?	New Indicator for 2016/17	N/A	30%	N/A	New indicator based upon splitting NEI002 to create 2 new indicators (NEI013 and NEI014) separating recycling and composting. The target for NEI002 is 60% with quarterly targets adjusted to account for seasonal fluctuation. Recycling and composting contribute roughly equal amounts to the performance statistics. It is therefore considered appropriate to split the 60% target equally between the 2 replacement indicators, at 30% each and review in the light of first year performance.
				Amber tolerance = 2% below target		

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
NEI01	What percentage of all household waste was sent to be composted or anaerobic digestion?	New Indicator for 2016/17	N/A	30% Amber tolerance = 2% below target	N/A	New indicator - See NEI013 above.

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance			
Communities Directorate									
COM 001	Rent collected from current and former tenants as a % of rent due (excluding arrears brought forward)	98.00%	99.55%	99.00% Amber tolerance = 0.50% point below target	Yes	The definition for this target was changed for 2015/16, with an associated increased target. In the light of the first year's operation, it is therefore suggested that the target is increased.			
COM 002	On average, how many days did it take us to re-let a Council property?	Days 37	37	Days 37 Amber tolerance = 1 day above target	No	The target continues to be challenging. Although the cumulative target was just met in Quarter 3, due to a lower void period in Quarter 2, the individual void periods for Quarters 1 and 3 were above target.			
COM 003	How satisfied were our tenants with the standard of the repairs service they received?	98.00%	99.72%	98.00% No amber tolerance appropriat e	No	The current target is already very high and well into the Top Quartile in the country. In view of the exceptional, ongoing levels of satisfaction, it is not considered necessary or appropriate to reduce the Council's aspirations for performance against this indicator.			

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
				House- holds 140		The number of households in temporary accommodation has rocketed over the past year, increasing by 64%. This is due to a number of reasons but primarily an increase in homelessness
COM 004	How many households were housed in temporary accommodation?	House- holds 65	95	Amber tolerance = 7 above target	Yes	but, primarily, an increase in homelessness applications and difficulties placing homeless applicants due to the number of out-of-London placements in the District by London Boroughs (47 in the last year). Numbers. in temporary accommodation have increased progressively each quarter, by an average of 12 per quarter (Q4-58; Q1-73-; Q2-83;Q3- 95). The position is expected to worsen with the requirement that the Council must sell higher value voids. Despite the actions being put into place to try t mitigate the use of temporary accommodation, the proposed target is realistic under all the circumstance
	What percentage of our council homes were not in a decent condition?	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	No	It is not possible to make the target any more challenging. Although the Council achieved its target of having no non-decent homes a number of years ago, with the loss in rental income to the HRA (due to the 1% rent reductions), one of the options to be considered during 2016 is to reduce investment in the housing stock. It is therefore essential to ensure that, at the very least, properties that may fail the standard in the near future are identified, and appropriate programmes of work continue to be put into place, to ensure that the Council continues to have no non- decent homes at any time.
COM 005				No amber tolerance appropriat e		

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
СОМ	How many of the key building components required to achieve	3300	2752	3300	No	The target of 3,300 components was carefully assessed from the outset to ensure that more building components are renewed each year than would be required to simply meet standard industry life cycles, in order to deal with the backlog that has arisen over the
006 the Modern Homes Standard were renewed?	the Modern Homes Standard were			Amber tolerance = 2% below target	No	years and, eventually, for all Council homes to meet the Council's Modern Homes Standard, introduced a few years ago. It is also on this basis that the Council's HRA Capital Programme has been formulated. The target is therefore appropriate to be continued for 2016/17.
COM (including or 007 hours emerged) are attended	What percentage of all emergency repairs		99.00%	99.00%		In view of the existing challenging target and the fact that it reflects the KPI within the Repairs Management Contract withMears, it is proposed that the current target for this KPI should continue for 2016/17.
	hours emergencies), are attended to within 4 working hours?	99.00%		Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target	No	
COM 008	What is the average overall time to complete all responsive repairs, from the time the request is made to	Working days 7.00	6.44	Working days 7.00 Amber tolerance = 1.00	No	In view of the existing challenging target (indeed, the average time for Quarter 3 itself was 6.9 days - just 0.1 day within the target) and the fact that it reflects the KPI within the Repairs Management Contract with Mears, it is suggested that the current target for this
	the time the job is completed?	7.00		working day above target		KPI should continue for 2016/17.

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
COM 009	What percentage of appointments for repairs are both made and kept?	98.00%	98.28%	98.00% Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target	No	In view of the existing challenging target and the fact that it reflects the KPI within the Repairs Management Contract withMears, it is suggested that the current target for this KPI should continue for 2016/17.
				97.50%		This KPI was originally introduced in response to the
COM 010	What percentage of calls is answered by the Council's Careline Service within 60 seconds?	97.50%	99.87%	Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target	No	National Audit Office's suggestion that the Council should have more qualitative KPIs. It is therefore suggested that it should continue into 2016/17. The indicator is a national requirement and target set by the Telecare Services Association (TSA) for all control centres that meet the TSA's stringent accreditation requirements. The target is very challenging, within the top quartile, and it is proposed that it continues for 2016/17.

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target Changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance					
	Governance Directorate										
	What percentage of			90.00%		There is a lower number of planning applications in this category compared with Minor (GOV005) or Other (GOV006) types so one application not meeting the					
GOV004	major planning applications were processed within 13 weeks or extension of time date?	75.00%	100.00%	Amber tolerance = 2.00% below target	Yes	target can result in a greater percentage swing. However, the target has proved to be easier to hit since the Government introduced a new tolerance that allowed a developer to extend the 13 week deadline to a new date, and there has been a significant increase in the number of applications meeting the target. Increasing the target by 15% to 90% therefore would be more challenging.					
	What percentage of			90.00%							
GOV005	minor planning applications were processed within 8 weeks or extension of time date?	90.00%	91.67%	Amber tolerance = 2.00% below target	No	Performance against the current target of 90% has been achievable since 2013/14, but just within an upward 2% tolerance. It is therefore considered the target remains at 90%.					
GOV006	What percentage of other planning applications were processed within 8 weeks or extension of time date?	94.00%	95.20%	94.00% Amber tolerance = 2% below target	No	The target at its current level for the category of planning applications, which is the highest received and mainly decided under delegated powers, still remains a challenge for officers at 94% and therefore it is recommended this should not be changed.					

Г

GOV007	What percentage of planning applications recommended for refusal were overturned and granted permission following an appeal?	19.0%	33.3%	20.0% Amber tolerance = 2.0% above target	Yes	Target had been achieved for 3 consecutive years from 11/12 to 13/14, including a target change challenge for officers from 20 to 19%. However, for this and last year it has not been met and may be because some allowed appeals are the result of the Local Plan becoming increasingly outdated and Inspectors therefore giving more weight to the National Planning Policy Framework. Whilst a small change, it is recommended that the target be put back to 20%, which will still be a challenging target for Officers.
GOV008	What percentage of planning applications, refused by members against a recommendation, were granted permission following an appeal?	50.0%	41.2%	50.0% Amber tolerance = 5.0% above target	No	This target should remain the same There have been fluctuations either side of the 50% over the past few years, but Members consider that if half their decisions to refuse planning permission are then dismissed on appeal, this is a good performance, given that these are usually balanced or contentious planning applications.

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance				
	Resources Directorate									
RES001	How many working days did we lose due to sickness absence?	7 days	5.58	7.5 days	Yes	Prior to the financial year 2014/15 the Council had reduced absence significantly over a number of years, however in 2014/15 the target was an average of 7 days per employee and the outturn figure was 9.2 days. This year the target remains at 7 days and the outturn figure is likely to be above this (but unlikely to be by as much as last year), as the trend for sickness concerning mental health is increasing. It is proposed that the target is decreased by 0.5 days, which still represents a challenging target but one that the Council could meet. The Council now provides mental health awareness training.				
				Amber tolerance = 7.51 days - 8.0 days						
	What percentage of			97%						
RES002	the invoices we received were paid within 30 days?	97%	96%	Amber tolerance = 1% below target	No	The target is realistic whilst neither being too easy or too difficult.				
	What percentage of			97.10%						
RES003	the district's annual Council Tax was collected?	97.00%	77.91%	Amber tolerance = 0.50% below target	Yes	Continuous improvement.				

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
RES004	What percentage of the district's annual business rates was collected?	97.70%	78.78%	97.80% Amber tolerance = 0.50% below target	Yes	Continuous improvement.
RES005	On average, how many days did it take us to process new benefit claims?	22.00 days	21.78	22.00 days Amber tolerance = 1.50 days above target	No	The target of 22 days is challenging yet achievable. 22 days should be achieved in 2015/16 but any decrease in the target from 22 days may result in the lower target not being achieved.
RES006	On average, how many days did it take us to process notices of a change in a benefit claimant's circumstances?	6.00 days	7.29	6.00 days Amber tolerance = 1.00 days above target	No	The target of 6 days will be met in 2015/16 and should be achievable in 2016/17

KPI Ref	Description	Target 2015/16	Q3 2015/16 Performance	Proposed Target 2016/17	Target changed Yes/No	Comments/justification for proposed target for 2016/17 and reasons for targeted reductions in performance
RES009	Are customer needs being met by the Corporate Website being available?	99.60%	99.95%	99.60%	No	New KPI for 2015/16 and will reassess at the next review
				Amber tolerance = 0.60% below target		
RES010	Are customer needs being met by the Corporate Website not having broken links?	94.10%	100.00%	95.00%	Yes	Target increased in the light of excellent performance. However as it is a new KPI for 2015/16, it will be reassessed at the next review.
				Amber tolerance = 1.00% below target		
RES011	Are customer needs being met by the main Corporate Website having effective navigation?	79.90%	81.04%	79.90%	No	New KPI for 2015/16 and will reassess at the next review
				Amber tolerance = 0.90% below target		